### **Fabrication of Fe3O4@NiAl-LDH Core-shell Structure Demonstrating the Dual Catalytic Photo-Fenton Activity**

POSTER Ph.D. Student: N Journal: YES (JECE)

*Suresh C. Pillai1\*, Nishanth Thomas<sup>1</sup> , Keerthi M. Nair<sup>1</sup> , Irthasa Aazem<sup>1</sup> , Shaista Jabeen<sup>1</sup> , Sreedhanya Pallilavalappil<sup>1</sup> , Tatiana Perova<sup>2</sup> , Steven J. Hinder<sup>3</sup> , Barry Brennan<sup>1</sup>*

*(1) Nanotechnology and Bio-Engineering Research Group, Atlantic Technological University, ATU Sligo, Ash Lane, Sligo, Ireland, \*Suresh.Pillai@atu.ie* 

*(2) School of Engineering, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland.*

*(3) The Surface Analysis Laboratory, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, UK.*



Heterogeneous Fenton catalysts are emerging materials for water purification applications due to their reusability and enhanced degradation efficiency. This study examines the enhanced photo-Fenton (PF) activity of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH core-shell type catalyst towards the degradation of contaminants of emerging concern (CEC). The TEM images show the presence of NiAl-LDH covered on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . The PF degradation experiments using acetaminophen (ACT) reveal improved degradation efficiency for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$  compared to  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and NiAl-LDH. The improved catalytic efficiency of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH was attributed to the reduced rate of electron-hole pair recombination. The study emphasises the potential of core-shell structured catalysts for efficient pollutant degradation applications.

#### **Introduction**

The photo-Fenton (PF) technique is a promising method for the degradation of contaminants of emerging concern (CEC) owing to its excellent capacity to produce highly oxidising hydroxyl radicals. Iron-based catalysts are capable of performing PF reactions in the presence of  $H_2O_2$ . Different heterogeneous catalysts, such as ferrites, magnetite, pillared clays, layered double hydroxides (LDH), and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), have been reported for PF-related applications. [1]

Among the various PF catalysts, magnetites are of particular interest due to their magnetic properties and photophysical characteristics. However, their PF activity is limited by the fast recombination rate of electron-hole pairs[2]. LDH materials are interesting due to their higher surface area and tunable chemical composition. The core-shell structured catalysts are receiving increased attention because of the combination of properties of a magnetic core and a reactive outer shell, as well as the potential for synergistic effects between them[3]. Herein, we report the synthesis of a  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$  core-shell structured catalyst through a co-precipitation strategy and demonstrate enhanced PF activity compared to  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and NiAl-LDH.

### **Material and Methods**

The  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles were prepared through an ethylene glycol-based solvothermal reaction[3]. The NiAl-LDH was subsequently coated on the surface of Fe3O<sup>4</sup> *via* a co-precipitation strategy, and the resulting catalyst was named  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH.$  The sample was characterised using XRD, FTIR, Raman, XPS, photoluminescence (PL), and BET measurements. The morphology of the catalysts was observed through the SEM and TEM images. The PF degradation of ACT, a contaminant of emerging concern, was performed using a 10 mg/L concentration of ACT, 0.5 g/L of the catalyst, and 1.5  $mM$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The PF tests were conducted under simulated solar light in a Q-SUN Xenon test chamber for 3 hours.

### **Results and Discussion**

XRD was utilised to analyse the crystallinity and phase of the prepared samples. The peaks at 2θ values of 30.5°, 35.6°, 43.3°, 53.6°, 57.1°, and 62.7° were attributed to the (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and  $(440)$  crystal planes of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , respectively. Similarly, the peaks at  $2\theta$  values of 11.4°, 23.2°, 35.0°, and 39.6° corresponded to the crystal planes (003), (006), (012) and (015) of NiAl LDH, respectively. The peaks corresponding to both  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ and NiAl-LDH were observed in the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-$ LDH catalyst [4].

The TEM images of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$  (Figure 1) reveal the presence of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  at the core of the catalyst, with a layer of NiAl-LDH on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ 

The surface elemental composition and chemical state of the catalysts were analysed using XPS analysis. The predominant existence of the elements Ni, Al, Fe, O and C was observed in the XPS survey spectra of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH.$  The high-resolution Ni2p spectra indicated the presence of Ni in two different oxidation states,  $Ni^{2+}$  and  $Ni^{3+}$ , in the Fe3O4@NiAl-LDH catalyst. By comparing the XPS spectra of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH before and after the PF reaction, the variations in the Ni<sup>2+</sup>/Ni<sup>3+</sup> ratio on the surface of the catalyst were assessed. This suggests the possibility of electron transfer between Ni and Fe and the potential for dual catalytic PF action exhibited by Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH catalyst.

The PF degradation studies in the presence of NiAl-LDH and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  resulted in 31.3% and 56.8% degradation of ACT, respectively. Subsequently, the PF degradation using the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH resulted in 95.8% degradation of ACT. It was observed that all three catalysts followed a pseudo-first-order kinetic reaction. The calculated kinetic rate constant values for the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$  were six times greater than those observed for NiAl-LDH.

The BET specific surface area (SSA) measurements were conducted (Table 1) in order to determine the potential connection between SSA and PF activity. The SSA value observed for the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  catalyst was 17.4  $\pm$  1.6 m<sup>2</sup>/g, while coating Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with NiAl-LDH  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH)$  resulted in a SSA value of 157.7  $\pm$  2.1 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The increased SSA value observed for the Fe3O4@NiAl-LDH compared to Fe3O4 suggests that there are more catalytic sites available for the

**Table 1.** BET specific surface area of the catalysts.



PF degradation reaction, which leads to improved catalytic efficiency.

Higher intensity of the PL spectra is typically associated with a more rapid recombination rate of electron-hole pairs[5]. Here, in the case of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$ , a lower emission intensity was observed, compared to NiAl-LDH. This indicates that a slower rate of electron-hole pair recombination could be the reason for the improved PF activity of Fe3O4@NiAl-LDH[4].



Figure 1. TEM image of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH catalyst

# **Conclusions**

This study demonstrates the preparation of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$  core-shell type catalyst. The efficiency of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH$  in degrading ACT was found to be 95.8%, which is significantly higher than that of NiAl-LDH (31.3%) and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (56.8%). The observed increase in the catalytic performance of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiAl-LDH was attributed to the slower electron-hole pair recombination and the potential dual catalytic action involving Ni and Fe.

# *Acknowledgements*

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme for the PANIWATER project (GA 820718), funded jointly by the European Commission and the Department of Science and Technology (DST), India.

# *References*

[1]N. Thomas, D.D. Dionysiou, S.C. Pillai, J. Hazard. Mater. 404 (2021) 124082.

[2]X. Zhang, B. Ren, X. Li, B. Liu, S. Wang, P. Yu, Y. Xu, G. Jiang, J. Hazard. Mater. 418 (2021).

[3]H. Zhang, G. Zhang, X. Bi, X. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A. 1 (2013) 5934–5942.

[4]J. Ni, J. Xue, L. Xie, J. Shen, G. He, H. Chen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 20 (2017) 414–421.

[5]P. Ganguly, S. Mathew, L. Clarizia, S. Kumar R, A. Akande, S.J. Hinder, A. Breen, S.C. Pillai, ACS Omega. 5 (2020) 406–  $421.$