Photocatalytic Degradation of Propranolol Hydrochloride by	POSTER
Fe.O.@TiO. Core-Shell under LIV-light	Ph.D. Student: N
	Journal: XXX

G. L. Colpani¹, M. Rossette², B. R. Sabino², J. Dal Magro². (1) Unochapecó, Servidão Anjo da Guarda, 295 D, Chapecó, Brazil, g_colpani@unochapeco.edu.br . (2) Unochapecó, Servidão Anjo da Guarda, 295 D, Chapecó, Brazil.



This work had the intended to prepare Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles using different ratios of Fe₃O₄ to serve as a photocatalyst for an Advanced Oxidative Process (AOP) in the decomposition of propranolol hydrochloride, exploiting its potential to reduce electron recombination and its reuse by magnetic separation. In this study, a Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ core-shell photocatalyst was succesfully synthesized by hydrothermal method. It was shown that the degradation of propranolol was optimal for Fe₃O₄:TiO₂ at a proportion of 1:22 which reached 70% degradation after 60 min under UV light, demonstrating efficiency and effectiveness in removing pharmaceutical pollutants and indicating great potential for practical applications.

Introduction

In the context of environmental problems caused by the creation and use of new synthetic substances, emerging pollutants are in evidence, given their widespread use and its potential as water contaminat ^[1]. The propranolol is a drug with potential toxicity already detected in surface water, being persistant and bioaccumulative, thus considered an emerging pollutant of interest ^{[2] [3] [4]}. With that in mind, the use of alternative methods, such as Advanced Oxidative Processes (AOP's), is a promising alternative. Among theses methods, heterogeneous photocatalysis is a degradation method of organic molecules that shows robust results, especially when using TiO₂ associated with UV radiation ^[5]. However, the recovering process of these particles after the photocatalysis have a high cost, so the association with magnetic materials can facilitate separation and improve contaminant degradation due to synergistic effects ^[6]. Therefore, in this work Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles were prepared using different proportions of Fe₃O₄ to serve as a photocatalyst of a AOP for the decomposition of propranolol hydrochloride, aiming to reduce electron recombination and its reuse by magnetic separation.

Material and Methods

The Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles were prepared using different ratios Fe₃O₄ (1,0; 0,6; 0,2 e 0,1 g). The procedure consists in the preparation of two solutions (A and B). In solution A magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles were dispersed in 50 ml of absolute ethanol. This mixture was homogenized in an ultrasonic equipment (Soniscs INC, Model VCX 750) for 5 min, at frequency of 60 kHz. To this mixture, 0,12 g of EDTA was added repeating the homogenization by ultrasound for the same amount of time. Solution B consists of the slow addition of 8 ml of titanium isopropoxide in 120 ml of absolute ethanol and 7 ml of glacial acetic acid, under stirring for 30 min. Subsequently, solution B was poured into solution A and homogenized again for 5 min via ultrasound. Afterwards, the solution A+B was transferred to a hydrothermal reactor, which was placed in an oven for 10 h at 160 °C. After that, this solution was filtered and the resulting solid was dried at 100 °C for 12 h. The drug degradation reactions were carried out in a jacketed reactor that contained a UV light source (80 W) and an aeration system. The initial concentration of propranolol hydrochloride was 25 mg/L and the catalyst was 1,0 g/L, which were dispersed in 1,5 l of water. Initially, the system was maintained for 30 min in the absence of light to evaluate the adsorption effect. After this period the lamp was activated. The samples collected at each time period were analyzed in a spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 214 and 289 nm.

Results and Discussion

Figures 1 and 2 represent the reduction of chromophore groups present in the drug. It is observed that in the proportion of TiO_2 :Fe₃O₄ equal to 22:1 there is an optimization of the process.



Figure 1 Removal kinetics of the chromophore group with absorption at 214 nm.



Figure 2 Removal kinetics of the chromophore group with absorption at 289 nm.

The results suggest that the presence of magnetite does not simply help to separate the photocatalyst,

it also improved the degradation of the contaminant. This fact may be associated with the formation of a heterojunction between the structures, wich leads to a reduction in the recombination of electron/vacancy pair due to the transference of electrons from the Fe₃O₄ particles to TiO₂ ^[6]. Figure 3 showed the FTIR spectra of Fe₃O₄@TiO₂

right of showed the Hirr spectra of P_{2} core-shell structure. The strong adsorbing peaks at 3425 cm-1 and 1634 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the stretching vibration of -OH and H-O-H bending vibration, which showed that sample contained the surface OH or adsorbed water, respectively. The peak at 1407 cm⁻¹ is recognized as CH=CH bonds, due to the TiO₂ precursor. The wideband at 400-900 cm⁻¹ is known as the Ti–O–Ti and Fe-O vibrations. This peak is wider because of the coincidence of the Ti–O band with Fe–O peaks^[7,8].



Figure 3 Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ 1:22 FTIR.

Conclusions

In this study, a Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ core-shell photocatalyst was succesfully synthesized by hydrothermal method. The degradation efficiency of propranolol was optimal for Fe₃O₄:TiO₂ at a proportion of 1:22 which reached 70% degradation after 60 min under UV light. Therefore, this photocatalyst is promising for effective and efficient removal of pharmaceutical pollutants, indicating the great potential of this one for practical applications.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the technical and financial support of Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó, financial support of FAPESC (grant number 2023TR001470) and financial support of CAPES.

References

[1] P. Mutiyar, S. Gupta, A. Mittal, Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, volume 150 (2018) 297-304.

[2] E. Archer, B. Petrie, B. Kasprzyk-Hordern, G. Wolfaardt, Chemosphere, volume 174 (2017) 437-446.

[3] S. Chafi, A. Azzouz, E. Ballesteros, Chemosphere, volume 287 part 2 (2022) 132202.

[4] G. Kyzas, A. Koltsakidou, S. Nanaki, D. Bikiaris, D. Lambropoulou, Science of The Total Environment, volume 537 (2015) 411-420.

[5] J. Xiao, Y. Xie, H. Cao, Chemosphere, volume 121 (2015) 1-17.

[6] R. Tumbelaka, N. Istiqomah, T. Kato, D. Oshima, E. Suharyadi, *Materials Today Communications*, volume 33 (2022) 104450.

[7] X-Q. Chen, H-X. Zhang, W-H Shen, Materials Chemistry and Physics, volume 216 (2018) 496-501.

[8] N. R. Mtech, B. S. Dehiya, Ceramics International, volume 46 (2020) 23516-23525.